EXHIBIT W

```
Page 1
               UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 1
 2
               NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
 3
               CASE NO. 10-cv-03561-WHA (DMR)
 4
     ORACLE AMERICA, INC.,
 5
          Plaintiff,
 6
          VS.
 7
 8
     GOOGLE INC.,
          Defendant.
 9
10
11
12
                 DEPOSITION OF: HENRIK STAHL
13
14
                TRANSCRIPT of the stenographic notes of
15
     the proceedings in the above-entitled matter as
16
     taken by and before RUTHANNE UNGERLEIDER, a
17
     Certified Court Reporter and Notary Public, held at
     the office of ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE, LLP,
18
19
     51 West 52nd Street, New York, New York, on
20
     Thursday, March 31, 2016, commencing at
21
     approximately 10:13 in the forenoon.
2.2
23
24
25
       Job No. CS2279519
```

Page 8 I don't know if it makes sense to bring 1 2 it up as bullets in the document, but I do have 3 extensive knowledge about our product strategy of Java, in particular, starting with 2012, as well 4 5 as -- I mean, a fair amount of technical knowledge, 6 not necessarily in the same depth as other expert 7 witnesses. 8 Do you have any knowledge of Oracle's 9 product strategy for Java prior to 2012? 10 Α Some. 11 As I said in my prior deposition, Oracle 12 acquired Sun in 2010 I believe the acquisition 13 closed. At the time of -- at that time, I was 14 responsible for the Enterprise Java implementation. 15 Somewhat later, my responsibilities expanded to 16 include the remaining parts of the Java products 17 family. 18 When you say Enterprise Java, do you 19 mean Java EE? 2.0 No. I'm sorry, that's easily misunderstood. I mean Java SE when used in an 21 2.2 Enterprise environment; in particular, Enterprise 23 servers. 24 Q Does Android compete with Java on the 25 Enterprise side?

```
Page 9
                  MS. SIMPSON: Objection to form.
1
 2
                  MR. MULLEN: Actually, that was a bad
 3
                I'll rephrase that.
     question.
                   Does Android compete with Java for
 4
           0
 5
     Enterprise uses?
 6
                  MS. SIMPSON: Objection to form.
 7
                   Do you mean Enterprise server-side Java?
           Α
 8
           Q
                  Yes.
 9
           Α
                  No, I don't believe so.
10
                  Has it ever competed with Enterprise
           0
     server-side Java?
11
12
                  Not that I'm aware.
           Α
13
           Q
                   Prior to 2012, did you have any
14
     responsibility for Java ME at Oracle?
15
                   So I don't remember the exact date when
16
     which my responsibilities expanded to include Java
17
     ME, but there was a specific reorganization that
18
     happened when I got responsibility for the entire
19
     product strategy for all of Java. I believe it was
2.0
     in early 2012. I may be wrong on the date. Prior to
21
     that date, whenever it was, I had no responsibility
2.2
     for Java ME at Oracle.
23
                  Were you ever an employee of Sun
24
     Microsystems?
25
           Α
                  No.
```

Page 10

Q Do you have any knowledge of Sun's business strategy for Java?

2.0

2.2

A That's a very wide question.

Certainly, I have been following it as a spectator during a number of years, but I have no more knowledge than any other spectator would have. And after Oracle's acquisition of Sun I had a number of colleagues that had been working at Sun, and, of course, I spent a fair amount of time talking to these colleagues, some of them were employees of mine, and I learned things after the fact about their strategy. So I guess the answer is yes with the explanation I just stated.

Q So is it fair to say that as to events that occurred before Oracle acquired Sun, you have no firsthand knowledge of Sun's business strategy for Java?

MS. SIMPSON: Objection to form.

A I'm not sure you can accurately make that distinction. I certainly spent a lot of time talking to a number of people that explained some of the history and some of the decisions made. So I wasn't there as it happened because I wasn't part of Sun at the time, but I do have a fair amount of knowledge that I've learned through colleagues,

Page 19 1 before, which was the -- an Oracle response to a 2 number of Google questions, and there were, I 3 believe, three or four other documents that were shown to me, that I guess are exhibits in this case, 4 5 PowerPoint presentation and an e-mail related --6 MS. SIMPSON: I'm going to caution you, 7 Henrik, not to reveal any conversations with counsel 8 because they're covered by privilege. 9 THE WITNESS: Sorry. 10 Α I was shown a few documents. 11 Did any of those documents refresh your 0 12 recollection about any of the issues in this case? 13 Α No. 14 And why do you say that? 0 15 Α I -- none of them really struck me as, 16 "Oh, I actually, I hadn't remembered this, this is 17 completely new to me." 18 There were -- I mean, when I was shown 19 the documents, there were a few details that I 2.0 remembered that I hadn't necessarily remembered 21 before. If someone had reminded me of a particular 2.2 document, it would have had the same effect, but I 23 don't think it's fair to say that it refreshed my 24 recollection. 25 Did any of the documents inform the

Page 20 bases for any of your opinions as an expert in this 1 case? 3 Α No. MR. MULLEN: Counsel, I think the 4 5 documents should probably be produced given what he 6 said about refreshing recollection, but we can talk 7 about that during the break. 8 MS. SIMPSON: Okay. 9 0 Did you do anything to search for 10 documents on your own, Mr. Stahl? 11 No, I did not. Α 12 There was one thing I did on the way 13 here, which was, I was uncertain about the definition 14 of a smartphone versus a feature phone since that had 15 come up in my prior deposition, and I looked up the 16 Wikipedia pages to see if anyone else were smarter 17 than I when it came to this. And what did you find out? 18 Q 19 Wikipedia was equally confused. Α 2.0 What do you mean by "equally confused"? Q 21 So I think it's very well stated on the 2.2 Wikipedia page for the feature phone, there is a 23 section on it that basically goes through the 24 differences between smartphone and feature phone, and 25 that section states that there is no clear

Page 21 distinction between a smartphone and a feature phone, 1 2 and as a matter of fact the word "smartphone" has 3 been used over the years frequently to describe the most current phone technology, and then as time 4 5 passes what was called smartphone is no longer called 6 So it's a sliding scale that changes with time. 7 At a fixed point in time, do you believe 8 that there is a difference between a smartphone and a 9 feature phone? 10 So that's the thing, I don't think that 11 that's accurate because I think it's always a sliding 12 scale, and it may be that the term "feature phone" 13 today is used to describe something that wasn't 14 called a feature phone when such phones existed. 15 Let's take today, for example. Q 16 If I asked you what the difference today 17 is between a smartphone and a feature phone, what 18 would you say? 19 MS. SIMPSON: Objection to form. 20 MR. MULLEN: That is a good objection. 21 Today, what is the difference between a 2.2 smartphone and a feature phone, if any? 23 MS. SIMPSON: Objection to form. 24 Α So I believe if you ask a random person 25 on the street, I believe that person, when you say

Page 22 "What's a smartphone," would specifically say, "Oh, 1 2 it's an iPhone, right, or it's a Samsung Galaxy," so that kind of will describe what smartphone is. 3 Many people would say, if they're shown, 4 5 you know, an old BlackBerry phone with a keyboard, that it's a smartphone, but I think a lot of other 6 7 people would say that it's not. 8 So I don't really know what the good 9 answer is, I'm sorry. 10 Well, I'm just asking you for your best 11 testimony, not necessarily what other people would 12 say. 13 What do you think, today, is the 14 difference, if any, between a smartphone and a 15 feature phone? 16 And I have to go back to what I said a 17 couple minutes ago. I looked up the Wikipedia pages because I was confused and I didn't have a clear 18 19 definition, and I still don't have a clear 20 definition. 21 Have you reviewed any deposition 2.2 transcripts other than your own in this case? 23 Α No. 24 Have you reviewed any of the trial 25 transcripts from the previous trial?

Page 23 1 Α No. 2 Q Did you review any expert reports from 3 this case? Α No. 4 5 And other than lawyers, have you talked 6 to anybody about your deposition? 7 Only in extremely general terms, like 8 mentioning that I was here, mentioning how many hours 9 it took, and tell people, such as my wife, that it 10 was in relation to the Oracle versus Google trial. 11 have not discussed any details of the deposition with 12 anyone. 13 Q Have you ever spoken with any of the 14 expert witnesses retained by Oracle in this case? 15 And just to clarify, these are the names 16 that were culled out in this -- no. 17 Q No. I'll ask you, actually, the names. I'll 18 19 name the expert witnesses that Oracle has retained in 2.0 this case and you can tell me if you have ever spoken 21 with them. 2.2 Adam Jaffe? 23 Α No. 24 Q Chris Kemerer? 25 Α No.

```
Page 24
                   James Malackowski?
 1
            0
            Α
                   No.
 3
            Q
                   Gwen Murray?
            Α
                   I recognize the name, but I -- I may be
 4
 5
     wrong -- I don't think I spoke to her.
                   I'm sorry, where was she employed?
 6
 7
     did she work for?
 8
                   I don't know the answer to that offhand,
            Q.
     I apologize.
10
            Α
                   Maybe I know someone else with the same
11
     name.
12
                   I don't think I ever spoke to anyone
13
     with that name who was an expert witness in this
14
     case.
15
            0
                   Have you ever spoken with Douglas
16
     Schmidt?
17
           Α
                   No.
                   Oliver Toubia?
18
            Q
19
           Α
                   No.
2.0
                   Robert Zeidman?
            Q
21
            Α
                   No.
2.2
            Q
                   Other than the three or four documents
23
     that you mentioned you reviewed yesterday in
24
     preparation for your deposition, have you reviewed
25
     any documents specifically for this litigation?
```

```
Page 25
                   Beyond my -- the transcript from my old
 1
 2
     deposition and the exhibits that -- that you brought
 3
     up with me then, no.
                   Have you prepared any summary or
 4
 5
     demonstrative of any opinions you might have in this
 6
     case?
 7
           Α
                   No.
 8
                   Have you prepared any summary or
 9
     demonstrative or analysis of any kind in connection
10
     with this case?
11
           Α
                   No.
12
                   Do you expect to do so between now and
13
     the time of trial?
14
                   No one has asked me for anything yet, so
           Α
15
     no.
16
                   Do you expect to testify at trial?
           Q
                   I don't know. I think there is a fair
17
           Α
     chance I will be asked to, but I don't know.
18
19
                   Have you discussed that with anybody
           Q
20
     other than your attorneys?
21
                   Well, my wife.
           Α
2.2
           Q
                   Anybody else?
23
                   I may have mentioned it to my kids.
24
     Beyond that, no.
25
                   Actually, that's not correct. I told my
```

Page 26 1 direct manager, for example, that I may have to 2 testify at trial, so people that I work with for whom 3 this matter, for planning purposes, like you can't necessarily plan for me to do other work during this 4 5 period of time, I told that I may be at the trial, 6 and there may be a few more people in that group. 7 Let's hand you a document that has been 8 already marked in a previous deposition as 1585. 9 apologize, I don't have a copy with the sticker. 10 So this is the document that I mentioned 11 that I was sent about a week ago. 12 Was that the first time you had ever 13 seen that document? 14 Yes, I believe so. Α 15 0 So you didn't have any input on the 16 content of the document, correct? 17 Not that I'm aware. Α 18 Q Okay. 19 If you could turn to page four, and do 20 you see there your name listed with several bullet 21 points in the paragraph of text beneath it? 2.2 Α Yes. 23 And do you understand that those are the 0 24 topics, generally, on which you have been disclosed 25 as somebody who might have expert testimony?

Page 29 Do you know approximately when Android 1 2 was announced, Mr. Stahl? 3 Approximately, I believe it was in 2006. Α Could be '7, I may be wrong. 4 5 And prior to the introduction of 6 Android, you weren't an employee of Sun Microsystems, 7 correct? 8 I was never an employee of Sun Α 9 Microsystems. 10 Nor were you an employee of Oracle prior 11 to the introduction of Android, correct? 12 If my dating of the introduction of 13 Android is correct, I became an employee at Oracle 14 with the acquisition of BEA Systems, which was in 15 2007. I believe that was after the introduction of 16 Android. 17 So any knowledge you have regarding the market for Java before the introduction of Android 18 19 would not be knowledge that you had gained within the 20 scope of your employment with either Sun or Oracle, 21 correct? 2.2 MS. SIMPSON: Objection to form. 23 Α We're looking at the time frame up to 24 2007? 25 I would like to look at the time frame Q

Page 30 1 prior to the introduction of Android. 2 Correct. Right. So up until the time I 3 started working for Oracle, because of the acquisition, I obviously had no insight as an 4 5 employee of Sun or Oracle. Any other opinions you have regarding 6 7 the market for Java before the introduction of 8 Android beyond what you said already today? 9 Α I'm sorry, I thought I gave a fairly 10 broad answer to a broad question. I believed it was dominant in a number 11 12 of markets. 13 What types of markets? Q 14 Α So phones were certainly one. 15 Enterprise servers was one. That one was one I had a 16 lot of personal familiarity with as a development 17 environment for cross platform desktop applications, 18 as a development environment for advanced web 19 applications through what was called applets. I was 2.0 also, at the time, generally familiar with the fact 21 that Java was present in a large number of other markets, such as, you know, set-top boxes and other 2.2 23 media devices, embedded devices, and smart cards. 24 Most of that knowledge came from, you know, visiting 25 Java One and learning information from Sun's

Page 31 marketing and things. 1 2 Q The familiarity and the knowledge you 3 just described in your previous answer, that came simply from being, as you put it, a spectator in the 4 5 industry, correct? 6 MS. SIMPSON: Objection to form. 7 And a user. Α But not as an employee of either Oracle 8 Q 9 or Sun? 10 Α That's correct. 11 The markets you described in your 0 12 previous answer, do you believe that any of those 13 markets have been harmed by the introduction of 14 Android? 15 Α Yes. 16 Which of those markets are you referring 17 to specifically? 18 So certainly phones, but it extends far 19 beyond that. 2.0 Any market where there is a 21 consumer-type device, multi-media entertainment 2.2 dashboard or smart TV or some other type of device 23 with a programming environment, or even broader than 24 that, any type of device in an embedded context that 25 has a user interface.

Page 32 So maybe I should clarify my question. 1 0 2 Prior to the introduction of Android, 3 what markets was Sun licensing Java for? Phones, set-top boxes, media players. 4 Α I 5 don't recall when Blu-ray players were introduced, 6 but certainly Blu-ray players. 7 I believe it was used -- I know it was used in ATM machines, I know it was used in printers, 8 and there are several other areas. 10 What other areas? 0 11 Α Many of them are mentioned later in this 12 document. 13 I don't know when the Kindle was 14 introduced, but E-readers for sure. IP phones, this 15 is like the phone we have on this table today, I 16 believe runs Java. Home gateways. What types -- strike that. 17 Q 18 Prior to the introduction of Android, 19 who were Sun's competitors in the market for mobile 2.0 phones? 21 So it's interesting to talk about 2.2 competitors. 23 Sun licensed Java to a large number of 24 different players and Java was integrated into a 25 variety of mobile platforms and operating systems.

2.0

2.2

Page 33

So Sun competitors would be companies that, for whatever reason, either licensed a competing product or choose to push an alternative technology. I think the main one would have been a Windows phone, I don't actually know what that product was called then, but Microsoft's smartphone -- sorry, actually, smartphone stack is incorrect. Microsoft phone stack, I think it was called Windows CE, or something like that, and I guess you could argue that iOS was a competing technology, but it never competed with Java in the market. But beyond that, pretty much everyone was using Android -- sorry -- was using Java. They licensed it from Sun and incorporated it into their stacks.

Q Why do you say that iOS never competed with Java in the market?

A Because iOS has never been licensed or sold separately, it's always been only an integrated part of Apple iPhone related products.

Q Do you believe that introduction of iOS and the rise of the iPhone harmed the market for Java-based mobile phones?

A Actually, I do not.

I believe that if we assume that Android had never existed, the introduction of the iPhone

Page 75 1 Α No. 2 Q Have you ever had responsibility for 3 Java sales? I've never had responsibility for Java 4 Α 5 sales. I've had responsibility for Java's product 6 strategy. 7 Have you ever had responsibility for 0 8 Java licensing? 9 Α Yes, that was part of my work responsibility, but I have to qualify that. 10 11 Licensing is a very, very broad topic, 12 but certain things I was directly responsible for, 13 like the licensing text that we use to license, you 14 know, Java SE in the Enterprise context, so I kind of 15 own that document from an Oracle perspective for a 16 number of years. 17 Other than the use of Java in an 18 Enterprise context, have you ever had responsibility 19 for Java licensing? 2.0 I'm sorry, you have to clarify. Are we 21 talking commercial licensing of Java? 2.2 Q Correct. 23 I was in the review and approval queue 24 for Java licensing deals. 25 What types of licensing deals? Q

Page 76

A So anytime Oracle closes a commercial contract with a customer, there is -- typically, there is a standard contract form that can be used and there is standardized pricing that can be used and there are certain things that a salesperson can negotiate.

2.0

2.2

If, as frequently happens, if it's a complex deal, there are certain terms that the customer would like changed, maybe it's the timeline of the deal, maybe they would like a discount because of a very large volume buy or something similar, then the contract would have to be modified, and those modifications would have to be approved. Who approved them depends on the scope of the change. In some cases, I was the final approver for things like this; in other cases, I was an intermediate approver and it would have to go to a more senior executive for final approval.

Q Is it your opinion that Android has harmed the market for Java in Internet of Things type devices?

A So Internet of Things is a very poorly defined term.

Q I'm just looking at what's --

A Yeah, I know.

Page 77

My title is Internet of Things, but even so, I think it's a poorly defined term.

There are a lot of markets that fall under the umbrella Internet of Things, and in the parts of those markets where Android is a viable technology it has significantly hurt Oracle's chances of selling Java.

- Q And has Oracle ever actually lost a deal in the market for the Internet of Things to Android?
 - A Many times.

2.0

2.2

- Q And what are some examples?
- A Smart TVs is one example. Java had a strong presence in TVs, still does in some segments of the TV and general media player space, but many of those vendors have moved on to Android as a platform instead.

Java had -- I don't know the exact size of Java, but Java had presence in the multi-media entertainment space, and, again, that is a space which Android is extremely strong in today and it's practically impossible to sell Java because of the existence of Android.

That is just a few examples. There are more in the end of this document.

Q So let me clarify.

Page 78 1 Is it your understanding that the 2 Internet of Things includes products such as TVs and cars and wearable devices? 3 Α That's what I'm saying. It's a very 4 5 vaquely defined term, but I think yes. If you use the term "Internet of Things" 6 7 the way it is being used by most people, including, 8 you know, media and the conferences, and so on and so forth, it's an incredibly encompassing term that 10 covers a large set of consumer-facing devices as well 11 as devices that target more industrial, commercial 12 uses cases. 13 And you don't -- so you see TVs, 14 wearables and cars as all part of the same market? 15 MS. SIMPSON: Objection to form. 16 No, I think they're a large series of 17 related markets. 18 Q Okay. 19 Is that different from the Internet of 2.0 Things or is Internet of Things more of an umbrella 21 term that covers them all? 2.2 Α Internet of Things is more of an 23 umbrella term that covers all of these. 24 Q Is it your opinion that the processing 25 power of mobile phones and other small devices has

Page 79 1 increased rapidly with the evolution of hardware for those devices? 3 Α Yes. Do you believe -- is it your opinion 4 0 5 that Java has fragmented -- or, excuse me, Android has fragmented Java? 6 7 Α Yes. 8 What is the basis for that opinion? 0 9 Α I believe we discussed that in my last 10 deposition. 11 The general problem is that if you look 12 at Java and Android as a variant of Java, if you 13 will, Java-like, you cannot directly take the skills 14 and tools and so on that you have gained by working 15 on Java SE and then transferring them to Android, you 16 have to relearn certain things, and vice versa. Android may be similar to Java, but if you start by 17 18 working on Android, you cannot immediately transfer 19 those skills and tools and so on and so forth and 20 start working on Java, you have to relearn certain 21 things. 2.2 And I actually believe that these 23 platforms have grown more apart over time because 24 when Android was first introduced, it was kind of

based on something that was a subset of Java SE5, and

25

Page 80 Java is now up to Java 8, with significant 1 2 innovations and enhancements going into it. 3 So that was like a split in the community when Android was introduced, and that 4 5 split, the rift, if you will, has deepened. 6 Now, some people still work on both 7 technologies and are able to do that, but there were 8 also people that worked exclusively on Java SE and don't work on Android and vice versa. 10 The fact that this split occurred and 11 this rift in the market occurred, you may not be able 12 to use the exact same tools, certainly not the exact 13 same libraries, is, in my book, fragmentation. 14 think that hurt Java, I think it hurt Oracle, both 15 from a community and a commercial perspective, and I 16 think it hurt the community as an entity perspective. 17 Have you done any analysis of any 18 commercial impact due to fragmentation of Java? 19 Α Analysis? No. 2.0 Is there another word you would use? Q 21 I'd say indications, if you will. Α 2.2 Q And what are those indications? 23 So we have, over the years, a number of Α 24 times -- well, okay. 25 Let me give you an example.